UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		•	01.22	
		Jesus Obeed Oliva-Mena	Case Number:	11-6542M
present a	and was	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a cs represented by counsel. I conclude by a prepor defendant pending trial in this case.	detention hearing nderance of the ev	was held on November 1, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a	a prepo	FINDING onderance of the evidence that:	S OF FACT	
_		The defendant is not a citizen of the United Sta	tes or lawfully adr	mitted for permanent residence.
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offen	•	·
_	×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
[The defendant has no significant contacts in the	e United States or	r in the District of Arizona.
[The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.			
	×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
[The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
[The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
[There is a record of the defendant using numer	ous aliases.	
[The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	rears imprisonment.
T at the tim	The Coune of the	e hearing in this matter, except as noted in the r	s of the Pretrial Se ecord. ONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1 2	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will fle No condition or combination of conditions will re DIRECTIONS REG	ee. easonably assure	the appearance of the defendant as required.
a correction appeal.	ions fac The def nited Sta	endant is committed to the custody of the Attorn- cility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportuni	ey General or his, sons awaiting or so ty for private cons nent, the person ir opearance in conr	her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
deliver a	T IS OF copy of	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention	order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
Services	sufficie	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third paently in advance of the hearing before the Distripotential third party custodian.	orty is to be conside ct Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATED this 2 nd day of November, 2011.				
		Aug.	—	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge